

RESOLUTION R22-23

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES, CALIFORNIA ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR THE CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES AT \$33,504,919 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022-23

WHEREAS, on November 6, 1979, the voters of California approved Proposition 4 which added Article XIII B to the State Constitution placing various limits on the appropriations of the state and local governments and requiring local governments, including the City, to calculate its "Appropriations Limit" on an annual basis; and,

WHEREAS, in 1980, Division 9 (Expenditure Limitations) of the California Government Code was codified via the passage by the Legislature and signature into law by the Governor which Division set forth the process by which local governments are to calculate the annual "Appropriations Limit;" and,

WHEREAS, on June 5, 1990, the voters of California approved Proposition 111 which amended Article XIII B of the State Constitution with respect to the methodology used for the calculation of the Appropriations Limit; and,

WHEREAS, in Article XIII B and Division 9 (Expenditure Limitations) of the California Government Code, the City of Palos Verdes Estates is required to annually calculate its "Appropriations Limit" by using the following data as provided by the State Department of Finance:

The GREATER of either:

- (1A) Change in Population of the County of Los Angeles, OR
- (1B) Change in Population of the City of Palos Verdes Estates;

AND

- (2) Change in the Per Capital Income in California;

AND

- (3) the Appropriations Limit of the immediately prior Fiscal Year

WHEREAS, as set forth in Resolution 22-23 and Exhibit "A" of this Resolution, the data used to calculate the Base Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2022-23 is as follows (bold items indicate the data to use in the Base Appropriations Limit Formula):

The GREATER of either:

- (1A) Change in Population of the County of Los Angeles: -0.7%, OR
- (1B) Change in Population of the City of Palos Verdes Estates: -1.0%;**

AND

- (2): Change in the Per Capital Income in California: 9.19%**

AND

- (3): the Appropriations Limit of FY 20/21 - \$26,313,524; and,**

RESOLUTION R22-23

WHEREAS, utilizing the formula for the Base Appropriations Limit as provided by the State of California's Department of Finance, the Base Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2022-23 is derived as follows:

- (A) Change in Population Expressed as a Ratio: $(-1.0 + 100) / 100 = .9900$
- (B) Change in Per Capita Income Expressed as a Ratio: $(9.19 + 100) / 100 = 1.0919$
- (C) Change Factor for FY 21/22 $.9900 * 1.0919 = 1.0810$
- (D) FY 20/21 Appropriations Limit \$26,313,524
- (E) FY 21/22 Appropriations Limit $1.0810 * \$26,313,524 = \$28,444,919$

WHEREAS, the voters of Palos Verdes Estates, via their approval of Measure E – the Law Enforcement Services Special Tax Ordinance, approved an increase in the Appropriations Limit “by the maximum projected aggregate collection authorized by levy of this Law Enforcement Services Special Tax...in each of the years in which this ordinance remains in effect,” which for Fiscal Year 2022-23, the “maximum projected aggregate collection” is: \$5,060,000.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Palos Verdes Estates:

Section 1. The Appropriations Limit is hereby established as \$33,504,919 (the sum of \$28,444,919 and \$5,060,000) for Fiscal Year 2022-23; and,

Section 2. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution R22-23

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED on this 28th day of June, 2022.




Victoria A. Lozzi, Mayor

ATTEST:



Kylan Chaney, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



Trevor Rusin, Interim City Attorney

PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME ^{1/}

Year	California	% Change	United States	% Change	California % of U.S.
2002	\$ 34,131	0.2%	\$ 31,839	0.7%	107.2%
2003	\$ 35,272	3.3%	\$ 32,717	2.8%	107.8%
2004	\$ 37,070	5.1%	\$ 34,280	4.8%	108.1%
2005	\$ 38,932	5.0%	\$ 35,868	4.6%	108.5%
2006	\$ 41,746	7.2%	\$ 38,120	6.3%	109.5%
2007	\$ 43,385	3.9%	\$ 39,883	4.6%	108.8%
2008	\$ 43,567	0.4%	\$ 41,026	2.9%	106.2%
2009	\$ 41,689	-4.3%	\$ 39,356	-4.1%	105.9%
2010	\$ 43,246	3.7%	\$ 40,683	3.4%	106.3%
2011	\$ 45,557	5.3%	\$ 42,747	5.1%	106.6%
2012	\$ 48,121	5.6%	\$ 44,548	4.2%	108.0%
2013	\$ 48,502	0.8%	\$ 44,798	0.6%	108.3%
2014	\$ 51,266	5.7%	\$ 46,887	4.7%	109.3%
2015	\$ 54,546	6.4%	\$ 48,725	3.9%	111.9%
2016	\$ 56,560	3.69%	\$ 49,613	1.82%	114.0%
2017	\$ 58,813	3.98%	\$ 51,573	3.95%	114.0%
2018	\$ 61,509	4.58%	\$ 53,817	4.35%	114.3%
2019	\$ 64,333	4.59%	\$ 55,724	3.54%	115.4%
2020	\$ 69,958	8.74%	\$ 59,147	6.14%	118.3%
2021	\$ 76,386	9.19%	\$ 63,444	7.26%	120.4%

^{1/} Omits income of government employees overseas.

^{2/} Reflects Loma Prieta earthquake.

^{3/} Reflects Northridge earthquake.

Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis

Updated: May 2022

Los Angeles	9,931,338	9,861,224	-0.7
Agoura Hills	19,975	19,771	-1.0
Alhambra	82,182	81,834	-0.4
Arcadia	56,240	55,934	-0.5
Artesia	16,347	16,226	-0.7
Avalon	3,428	3,394	-1.0
Azusa	50,191	49,704	-1.0
Baldwin Park	71,455	70,855	-0.8
Bell	33,962	33,624	-1.0
Bellflower	78,107	77,359	-1.0
Bell Gardens	39,265	38,861	-1.0
Beverly Hills	32,565	32,265	-0.9
Bradbury	914	904	-1.1
Burbank	106,268	105,451	-0.8
Calabasas	23,151	22,926	-1.0
Carson	92,912	92,362	-0.6
Cerritos	49,163	48,634	-1.1
Claremont	37,364	37,072	-0.8
Commerce	12,273	12,140	-1.1
Compton	95,261	94,233	-1.1
Covina	50,933	50,449	-1.0
Cudahy	22,526	22,318	-0.9
Culver City	40,330	40,135	-0.5
Diamond Bar	54,782	54,204	-1.1
Downey	113,525	112,584	-0.8
Duarte	21,473	21,258	-1.0
El Monte	108,728	107,706	-0.9
El Segundo	17,244	17,084	-0.9
Gardena	60,382	59,947	-0.7
Glendale	194,618	193,116	-0.8
Glendora	52,316	51,821	-0.9
Hawaiian Gardens	13,755	13,619	-1.0
Hawthorne	87,722	86,841	-1.0
Hermosa Beach	19,382	19,171	-1.1
Hidden Hills	1,736	1,738	0.1
Huntington Park	54,519	53,942	-1.1
Industry	440	438	-0.5
Inglewood	107,143	106,481	-0.6
Inwindale	1,482	1,490	0.5
La Canada Flintridge	20,291	20,081	-1.0
La Habra Heights	5,655	5,594	-1.1
Lakewood	81,681	80,876	-1.0
La Mirada	49,074	48,696	-0.8
Lancaster	176,166	175,164	-0.6
La Puente	37,626	37,587	-0.1
La Verne	32,608	32,304	-0.9
Lawndale	31,638	31,301	-1.1
Lomita	20,851	20,633	-1.0
Long Beach	459,757	460,682	0.2

Los Angeles	3,853,323	3,819,538	-0.9
Lynwood	67,260	66,723	-0.8
Malibu	10,739	10,686	-0.5
Manhattan Beach	35,357	34,902	-1.3
Maywood	25,033	24,814	-0.9
Monrovia	37,809	37,563	-0.7
Montebello	62,180	61,622	-0.9
Monterey Park	60,814	60,207	-1.0
Norwalk	102,356	101,645	-0.7
Palmdale	168,895	167,398	-0.9
Palos Verdes Estates	13,116	12,980	-1.0
Paramount	53,009	52,477	-1.0
Pasadena	139,029	138,310	-0.5
Pico Rivera	61,827	61,442	-0.6
Pomona	148,879	149,766	0.6
Rancho Palos Verdes	41,878	41,468	-1.0
Redondo Beach	69,742	68,972	-1.1
Rolling Hills	1,703	1,684	-1.1
Rolling Hills Estates	8,283	8,289	0.1
Rosemead	50,823	50,511	-0.6
San Dimas	34,651	34,352	-0.9
San Fernando	23,520	23,519	0.0
San Gabriel	39,250	38,845	-1.0
San Marino	12,334	12,257	-0.6
Santa Clarita	228,084	228,835	0.3
Santa Fe Springs	18,568	18,763	1.1
Santa Monica	93,242	92,408	-0.9
Sierra Madre	10,959	10,865	-0.9
Signal Hill	11,702	11,597	-0.9
South El Monte	19,532	19,668	0.7
South Gate	92,783	93,259	0.5
South Pasadena	26,849	26,580	-1.0
Temple City	36,497	36,262	-0.6
Torrance	145,876	144,433	-1.0
Vernon	210	208	-1.0
Walnut	28,344	28,094	-0.9
West Covina	109,290	108,243	-1.0
West Hollywood	35,553	35,399	-0.4
Westlake Village	8,121	8,043	-1.0
Whittier	87,886	87,931	0.1
Balance of County	1,016,626	1,009,857	-0.7

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) SS:
CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES)

I, Kylynn Chaney, City Clerk for the City of Palos Verdes Estates, California, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution **R22-23** was duly and regularly approved and adopted by the City Council of the City of Palos Verdes Estates at its regular meeting of the City Council on the 28th day of June, 2022, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS: Lozzi, Roos, Murdock, McGowan, Kems
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS: None
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS: None
ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBER: None



Kylynn Chaney, City Clerk